

BILLY N. HAMMOCK,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) CV 111-045
)
 ROBERT SIMPKINS and PETER CICCIO,)
)
 Defendants.)

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), to which no objections have been filed.¹ Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, Defendants' motion to dismiss is **GRANTED** (doc. no. 65), Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**, and this civil action is **CLOSED**.

HONORABLE J. RANDAL HALL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

¹ Although the Court is aware that, in response to the R&R, Plaintiff has filed both a “Motion to Show Unjust Causes” (doc. no. 82) and a “Motion to Show Unjust Cause” (doc. no. 83), those motions, like many of Plaintiff’s previous similarly-titled motions (*see, e.g.*, doc. nos. 59, 69, 71), are simply a continuation of his practice of apprising the Court of matters that have no bearing on the Eighth Amendment claim at issue, and are therefore **DENIED**. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s “motions,” which fail to seek appropriate relief or to respond in any meaningful way to the R&R (doc. no. 80), will not be treated as presenting any properly filed objections.